## Michigan Judges Association

85th Anniversary

PRESIDENT: HON, TIMOTHY G. HICKS 14th Circuit Court Michael E. Kobza Hall of Justice 990 Terrace Street Muskegon, Michigan 49442 Office: (231) 724-6337 Fax: (231) 724-4587

President-Elect: Hon, Lita Masini Popke Detroit, MI

Vice President: Hon. Stephen D. Gorsalitz Kalamazoo, MI

Secretary: Hop. Paul E. Hamre Paw Paw, MI

Hon, Colleen A. O'Brian Pontiac, Mi

immediate Past President: Hon. Annette Jurkiewicz Berry Detroit, MI

Court of Appeals Representative: Hon. William B. Murphy

Executive Committee: Hon. James M. Alexander Hop. Susan D. Borman Hon, Megan Maher Brennan Hon. George S. Buth Hon, Pat M. Donofrio Hon. Joseph J. Farah Hon. John H. Gillis, Jr. Hon. James C. Kingsley Hon, M. Richard Knoblock Hon, Shalina D. Kumar Hon, Jonathan E. Lauderbach Hoл. Pamela L. Lightvoet Hon, Peter J. Maceroni Hon. Paula J. Manderfield Hon. Kenneth W. Schmidt Hon. Virgil C. Smith Hon. Paul E. Stutesman Hon, Jon A. Van Allsburg Hon, Christopher P. Yates Hon, Tracey A. Yokich Hon, Joan E. Young

September 18, 2012

E-mail: hicks@co.muskegon.mi.us Corbin Davis Clerk of the Court Michigan Supreme Court P.O. Box 30052 Lansing, MI 48909

> RE: ADM File 2011-08 ADM File 2011-10 ADM File 2012-03 ADM File 2011-09 ADM File 2011-14 ADM File 2011-18

Dear Clerk Davis:

At the June 26, 2012 meeting of the Michigan Judges Association, the Executive Board, Rules Committee, and Family Law Committee considered the above-referenced proposed amendments.

MJA considered the remainder of ADM file 2011-08. We support the adoption of the proposed amendment.

MJA also considered ADM file 2011-10 and would oppose the adoption of the proposed amendment. The attorney general already appears to represent the parole decision and we have the ability to appoint counsel as needed. We are concerned about the budget requirements involved in implementing the rule.

MJA also considered ADM file 2012-03. We agree that interpreters should be appointed to represent parties as needed and in both civil and criminal cases. We believe we have the ability to do so now. We are concerned with the ability of all courts across the State to have a pool of certified interpreters as proposed in the rule. We are also concerned about the ability to budget for these requirements. With these concerns

in mind we would opt for Alternative A in both areas where available and would also suggest that 1.111 (D) be amended to require the Court to record an interpreter rather than making it optional.

MJA also considered **ADM file 2011-09** and would defer to the Court of Appeals Rules committee.

MJA also considered **ADM file 2011-14** and would support the adoption of the proposed amendment.

MJA also considered **ADM** file 2011-18 and would support the adoption of the proposed amendment.

We thank the Court for considering our input on these matters. If the Michigan Judges Association may provide any further information or assistance, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Hon. Timothy Hicks, President Michigan Judges Association

c: Hon. Robert P. Young, Jr., Chief Justice Michigan Supreme Court Hon. Paul Stutesman, Rules Committee Chairperson, MJA Hon. Joan E. Young, Family Law Committee Chairperson, MJA Hon. Lita Popke, President-Elect, Michigan Judges Association Anne Boomer, Administrative Counsel, Michigan Supreme Court